

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,751	08/25/2003	Michael L. Popick	030087 (BLL-0100)	3746
7550 12/27/2007 Philmore H. Colbum II Cantor Colburn LLP 55 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002			EXAMINER	
			HAIDER, FAWAAD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/648,751	POPICK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FAWAAD HAIDER	3627		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

3) Minformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S5/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/25/2003.	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Attachmont/c)	
	·
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	
application from the International	
	ne priority documents have been received in this National Stage
_ , , ,	uments have been received in Application No.
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	oroign priority ander 00 0.0.0. § 113(a)-(a) or (i).
	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
	correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2003</u>	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	
Application Papers	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the appli	cation
Disposition of Claims	
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
 Since this application is in condition for a 	allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>10/17/2007</u> .
Status	
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the	e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

Application/Control Number: 10/648,751 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dudle et al (5,570,291) in view of Bergholm et al (5,761,432).

Re Claim 1: Dudle discloses creating a telecommunication equipment order record including a telecommunication equipment order number, a project number, equipment data, accounting data, schedule data, supplier data and shipping data, wherein the creating includes populating the order record in response to input from a creator (see Figures 1-27); transmitting the accounting data to an accounting system for verification of the accounting data; receiving a verification status from the accounting system, wherein the verification status is positive if the accounting data is located in the accounting system, and the verification status is negative if the accounting data is not

Application/Control Number: 10/648,751

Art Unit: 3627

located in the accounting system (see Figures 4A-4B); releasing the order record into an order database accessible by users in response to the verification status being positive and to a request from the creator (see 114 in Figure 3). However, Dudle fails to disclose updating the order record and resubmitting the order record. Bergholm discloses updating the order record in response to the verification status being negative and to input from the creator and resubmitting the order record to the accounting system in response to the updating (see column 3, lines 2-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dudle's invention with Bergholm's use of updating and resubmitting the order record in order to "provide more efficient use of telecommunications network resources (see col.1, lines 2-3)."

Re Claim 2: Dudle discloses wherein the creating further includes copying an existing order record (see col.6, line 15).

Re Claims 3-4: Dudle discloses wherein the existing order record is a template (see col.9, line 23).

Re Claim 5: Dudle discloses wherein the accounting data includes a work identification and asset category code (see col.7, line 28).

Re Claims 6, 11: Dudle discloses wherein the accounting data includes a geographic location code and one or more common language location identifiers associated with the geographic location code (see Figure 3).

Re Claims 7-9: Dudle discloses wherein: the supplier data includes a supplier code, and adding a new supplier code to a supplier code table. He also discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/648,751

Art Unit: 3627

searching for the supplier code in a supplier code table and notifying the creator if the supplier code is not located in the supplier code table (see Figures 28-36).

Re Claim 10: Dudle discloses wherein the verification of the accounting data is performed during data entry of the accounting data by the creator (see Figures 4A-4B).

Re Claims 12-13: Dudle discloses further comprising validating the equipment data against a standard equipment configuration, which is accessed via a configuration application system (see Figure 2).

Re Claim 14: Dudle discloses wherein: the equipment data includes equipment type; the accounting data includes geographic location code, common language location identifier, and a work identification and asset category code; the schedule data includes order due date, ship date, start date, advance date, complete date, service date, building ready date, retire date and remove date; the supplier data includes supplier code, supplier contact and supplier contract number; the shipping data includes ship to code, papers to code, bill to code, consolidated order, shipping method, ship with special authority and package marking; and the order record further includes a completion notice code, an advance location common language location identifier code, an advance note, a location note mad an order description (see Figures 1-27).

Re Claims 15-18: Dudle discloses wherein the project accounting data includes geographic location code, common language location identifier and a work identification and asset category code; and the project record further includes project type, contact, OC code, authorization number, project description, advance date, and complete date (see Figures 1-27).

Application/Control Number: 10/648,751

Art Unit: 3627

However, Dudle fails to disclose project data. Bergholm discloses further comprising: creating a project record corresponding to the project number, the project record including the project number and project accounting data. He also discloses copying the project record from an existing project record. Furthermore, he discloses wherein the project record includes an authorization number input by the creator (see Figures 1-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dudle's invention with Bergholm's use project data in order to "provide more efficient use of telecommunications network resources (see col.1, lines 2-3)."

Re Claim 19: Dudle discloses wherein the order record corresponds to one or more detail records, wherein each of the detail records include the project number, the order number, a vendor code, detail accounting data, item data, major material cost data, telephone company engineering dollars data, vendor engineering dollars data, vendor installation dollars data and vendor minor material dollars data (see Figures 1-27).

Re Claim 20: Dudle discloses further comprising: receiving input parameters from a report requestor; creating a report responsive to the input parameters and to data included in the order database; and transmitting the report to the report requestor (see Figures 5-27).

Re Claims 21-22: Dudle discloses wherein the report includes the accounting data and schedule data (see Figures 2-3).

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fawaad Haider whose telephone number is 571-272-7178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Ryan Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fawaad Haider Examiner Art Unit 3627

FIH